BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL BENCH

AT RAIPUR, CHHATTISGARH.

Original Application No. 28 /2001

Satish Kumar Upadhyay,
Son of Shri Kunjlal Upadhyay,
Age 41 years, Assistant Teacher,
Posted at Boys Primary School ,
Devkar, Block Saja, Education District,
Bemetara, District Durg (C.G.)

2. Dinesh Kumar Agrawal,
Son of Shri Kaushal Kumar Agrawal,
Age 23 years, Assistant Teacher,
Boys Primary School Devkar, Block Saja,
Education District Bemetara,
District Durg (C.G.)

3. Chandra Prakash Singh Thakur,
Son of Shri Shankar Singh Thakur,
Age 35 years, Assistant Teacher,
Posted at Girls Primary School Gadadhih,
Block Saja, Education District Bemetara,
District Durg (C.G.)

4. Rajesh Kumar Tiwari,
Son of Vishwanath Prasad Tiwari,
Age 36 years, Assistant Teacher,
Posted at Girls Primary School Gadadhih,
Block Saja, Education District Bemetara,
District Durg (C.G.)

5. Brijesh Kumar Tiwary,
Son of Shri Vishwanath Tiwarri,
Age 31 years, Assistant Teacher,
posted at Primary School Dehari,
Block Saja, Education District Bemetara,
District Durg (C.G.)

6. Raj Kumar Pandey,
Son of Shri Chandra Shekhar Bharma,
Age 34 years, Assistant Teacher,
Posted at Primary School Dihpara Devkar,
Block Saja, Education District Bemetara,
District Durg (C.G.)

.. .. Applicants

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Director,
Public Instruction, Pension Bada,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. District Education Officer,
Education District Bemetara,
District Durg (C.G.) .. .. Non-Applicants

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT 1985

(95)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4497 OF 2005

Petitioners	:	Satish Kumar Upadhyay and others
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Rahul Birtharey, counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/ State.

ORDER
(Passed on 29th of August, 2006)

The petitioners have filed this petition seeking two additional increments with retrospective effect on the ground that they have obtained B.Ed. Degree.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the cases of the petitioners are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*[1] and in Writ Petition No.3268/2006 (*Rohit Kumar*

Verma vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others). It is, therefore, submitted that the District Education Officer, Durg be directed to decide the cases of the petitioners in the light of the above-stated decisions of this Court. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State has no objection.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the District Education Officer, Durg is directed to examine the cases of the petitioners and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

4. With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 - 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal